



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,018	10/20/2003	Maarten Menzo Wentink	050337-1290 (05CXT0069WL)	4108
20306 7590 03/16/2010 MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP 300 S. WACKER DRIVE 32ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606			EXAMINER TAYLOR, NICHOLAS R	
			ART UNIT 2441	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 03/16/2010	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/689,018	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WENTINK, MAARTEN MENZO	
	<b>Examiner</b> Nicholas Taylor	<b>Art Unit</b> 2441	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 January 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14, 17, 18, 21-23 and 25-32 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14, 17, 18, 21-23 and 25-32 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)         | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Applicant's submission filed on January 21st, 2010, has been entered.

2. Claims 1-14, 17, 18, 21-23, and 25-32 have been presented for examination and are rejected.

### ***Response to Arguments***

3. Applicant's arguments filed January 21st, 2010, with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2441

5. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 13, 17, 22, 25, 28, 30, and 32 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al. (U.S. PGPub 2002/0163929) and Cali et al. ("IEEE 802.11 Protocol: Design and Performance Evaluation of an Adaptive Backoff Mechanism").

6. As per claims 1, 13, and 22, Li teaches a method for accessing a shared resource comprising:

a first station sharing a resource with a plurality of other stations; (Li, see architecture and shared medium of paragraphs 0032, 0033 and fig. 1)

the first station determining a first backoff interval by measuring an average wait time that the first station incurred during a plurality of previous access attempts to the shared resource; and (Li, see overview of paragraphs 0014-0016, fig. 5, and paragraphs 0059-0064, where the backoff interval is determined by measuring an average wait time from previous access attempts)

once it is determined that the first station desires access to the shared resource and the shared resource first becomes available, the first station refraining from contending for access to said shared resource for at least an interval substantially equal to the first backoff interval (Li, see paragraphs 0065-0067, and fig. 6, where the station is prevented from contending for access until an interval equal to the first backoff interval has passed).

Li teaches the above, including measuring an average wait time that is based on the present collision rate that is determinative of successful network throughput to

Art Unit: 2441

determine a first backoff interval (see generally paragraph 0014-0016). However, Li is silent as to measuring an average wait time that the first station incurred during a plurality of previous access attempts to the shared resource.

In a similar field of endeavor, Cali teaches an improved method of calculating a backoff interval value using average wait time (pg. 1774, section 1, description of author's proposal; see also pg. 1776 section B describing calculating the backoff window). The average wait time is determined based on the station's plurality of previous attempts to access the shared resource (see pg. 1778 discussion of observing prior channel access). Further, the average wait time is measured from a time that the station determines the resource has become idle to a time that that a pending frame is transmitted, and calculating an average of the wait times (see pg. 1778, calculation of timing, including basing the calculation on a moving average).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have combined Li and Cali to provide the backoff interval calculation of Cali in the system of Li, because doing so would provide a transmission interval that approaches the theoretical capacity limits of the IEEE 802.11 MAC protocol capacity (see Cali, abstract). Further, both systems are directed to determining efficient backoff intervals using algorithms that are based on station channel status information (Li, paragraph 0053; Cali, abstract). One having ordinary skill in the art would look to known systems (e.g., available 802.11 interval calculation algorithms) to combine the system in known ways (software programming, where both systems use standardized

Art Unit: 2441

802.11 protocol variables) to yield predictable results (tuned backoff algorithms that provide better throughput yields; see Cali, pg. 1774 introduction).

7. As per claim 2, Li-Cali teaches the system further comprising the first station transmitting a frame to one of the other stations using the shared resource after said first backoff interval has passed, wherein said shared resource is a shared-communications channel (Li, see architecture and shared medium of paragraphs 0032, 0033 and fig. 1, where frames are transmitted after intervals have passed).

8. As per claim 4, Li-Cali teaches the system further wherein said backoff interval is further based on a moving average (Cali, see pg. 1778, calculation of timing, including basing the calculation on a moving average).

9. As per claims 5, Li-Cali teaches the system further comprising the first station refraining from contending for access to the shared resource for a second random backoff period beyond said first determined backoff period (Li, see overview of paragraphs 0014-0016, fig. 5, and paragraphs 0059-0064; see also Cali, pgs. 1776 and 1777).

10. As per claim 6, Li-Cali teaches the system further wherein said second random backoff period assumes a nonzero value only after an unsuccessful attempt to transmit

Art Unit: 2441

occurs (Li, see overview of paragraphs 0014-0016, fig. 5, and paragraphs 0059-0064; see also Cali, pgs. 1776 and 1777).

11. As per claim 7, Li-Cali teaches the system further wherein said backoff interval is constrained to be at least as long as an IEEE 802.11 distributed interframe space (Cali, see, e.g., pg. 1776 use of DIFS minimum interval).

12. As per claims 17, and 25, Li-Cali teaches the system further wherein said shared resource is a shared-communications channel and wherein said transmitter communicates over the shared-communications channel in accordance with an IEEE 802.11 protocol (Cali, pgs. 1774 and 1775).

13. As per claims 28, 30, and 32, Li-Cali teaches the system further wherein the first station measuring an average wait time comprises: the first station measuring a plurality of wait times, each wait time measured (i) from a time that the first station first determines that the shared resource has become idle (ii) to a time that the first station actually transmits a pending frame on the shared resource; and calculating an average of the plurality of wait times (Cali, see pg. 1778, calculation of timing, including basing the calculation on a moving average; see also pg. 1776 and 1777).

14. Claims 3, 8-12, 14, 18, 21, 23, 26, 27, 29, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al. (U.S. PGPub 2002/0163929) and Cali et al. ("IEEE 802.11 Protocol: Design and Performance Evaluation of an Adaptive

Art Unit: 2441

Backoff Mechanism"), further in view of Singh et al. ("PAMAS – Power Aware Multi-Access Protocol with Signaling for Ad Hoc Networks").

15. As per claims 3, 14, and 23, Li-Cali teaches the above, yet fails to teach the system further comprising, after the first backoff period is determined, the first station powering down a receiver circuit for at least a portion of said first backoff interval while the first station is refraining from contending for access to the shared resource.

Singh teaches a wireless resource sharing system (Singh, sections 1 and 2) that powers down a station access a shared resource during intervals in which the station refrains from accessing the resource (see section 2.1 describing IEEE 802.11 nodes that power down when refraining from transmission over the shared resource). Singh additionally teaches the use of the 802.11 protocol specification (section 2.1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have combined Li-Cali and Singh to provide the power saving of Singh in the system of Li-Cali, because doing so would allow the use of a power-saving mode that is beneficial for conserving battery power in mobile stations (see Singh, section 2.1 discussing the importance and need for power conservation in devices when transmission cycles are not taking place; see also Conclusion section). Further, one of ordinary skill in the art looking to create a station with the commonly understood benefit of an extended battery life would look to prior art teachings that facilitate intelligent power conservation. Singh, which discloses a shared resource communication system,



Art Unit: 2441

provides one such predictable solution in the form of an intelligent component power-down when communication is not necessary or not possible.

16. As per claims 8 and 18, Li teaches a system comprising:

a station and an access point communicating over a shared resource, (Li, see architecture and shared medium of paragraphs 0032, 0033 and fig. 1)

the access point configured to:

determine a first backoff interval value by measuring an average wait time that the access point incurred during a plurality of previous attempts to access the shared resource; and distribute the first backoff interval value to the station, (Li, see overview of paragraphs 0014-0016, fig. 5, and paragraphs 0059-0064, where the backoff interval is determined by measuring an average wait time from previous access attempts)

the station configured to:

transmit data over said shared resource; receive the first backoff interval value from said access point; once it is determined that the station desires access to the shared resource and the shared resource first becomes available, to refrain from contending for access to said shared resource for at least a first interval substantially equal to said first backoff interval value; and (Li, see paragraphs 0065-0067, and fig. 6, where the station is prevented from contending for access until an interval equal to the first backoff interval has passed)

power down a receiver circuit for at least a portion of said first interval while the station refrains from accessing the shared resource.

Li teaches the above, including measuring an average wait time that is based on the present collision rate that is determinative of successful network throughput to determine a first backoff interval (see generally paragraph 0014-0016). However, Li is silent as to measuring an average wait time that the first station incurred during a plurality of previous access attempts to the shared resource.

In a similar field of endeavor, Cali teaches an improved method of calculating a backoff interval value using average wait time (pg. 1774, section 1, description of author's proposal; see also pg. 1776 section B describing calculating the backoff window). The average wait time is determined based on the station's plurality of previous attempts to access the shared resource (see pg. 1778 discussion of observing prior channel access). Further, the average wait time is measured from a time that the station determines the resource has become idle to a time that that a pending frame is transmitted, and calculating an average of the wait times (see pg. 1778, calculation of timing, including basing the calculation on a moving average).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have combined Li and Cali to provide the backoff interval calculation of Cali in the system of Li, because doing so would provide a transmission interval that approaches the theoretical capacity limits of the IEEE 802.11 MAC protocol capacity (see Cali, abstract). Further, both systems are directed to determining efficient backoff intervals using algorithms that are based on station channel status information (Li, paragraph 0053; Cali, abstract). One having ordinary skill in the art would look to known systems (e.g., available 802.11 interval calculation algorithms) to combine the

Art Unit: 2441

system in known ways (software programming, where both systems use the standardized 802.11 protocol variables) to yield predictable results (tuned backoff algorithms that provide better throughput yields; see Cali, pg. 1774 introduction).

Li further fails to teach wherein the system powers down a receiver circuit for at least a portion of said first interval while the station refrains from accessing the shared resource.

Singh teaches a wireless resource sharing system (Singh, sections 1 and 2) that powers down a station access a shared resource during intervals in which the station refrains from accessing the resource (see section 2.1 describing IEEE 802.11 nodes that power down when refraining from transmission over the shared resource). Singh additionally teaches the use of the 802.11 protocol specification (section 2.1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have combined Li-Cali and Singh to provide the power saving of Singh in the system of Li-Cali, because doing so would allow the use of a power-saving mode that is beneficial for conserving battery power in mobile stations (see Singh, section 2.1 discussing the importance and need for power conservation in devices when transmission cycles are not taking place; see also Conclusion section). Further, one of ordinary skill in the art looking to create a station with the commonly understood benefit of an extended battery life would look to prior art teachings that facilitate intelligent power conservation. Singh, which discloses a shared resource communication system, provides one such predictable solution in the form of an intelligent component power-down when communication is not necessary or not possible.

17. As per claim 9, Li-Cali-Singh teaches the system further comprising the first station transmitting a frame to one of the other stations using the shared resource after said first backoff interval has passed, wherein said shared resource is a shared-communications channel (Li, see architecture and shared medium of paragraphs 0032, 0033 and fig. 1, where frames are transmitted after intervals have passed).

18. As per claim 10, Li-Cali-Singh teaches the system further wherein said first backoff interval is further based on a moving average (Cali, see pg. 1778, calculation of timing, including basing the calculation on a moving average).

19. As per claims 11 and 21, Li-Cali-Singh teaches the system further wherein the station refrains from contending for access to the shared resource for a second random backoff period beyond said first backoff period (Li, see overview of paragraphs 0014-0016, fig. 5, and paragraphs 0059-0064; see also Cali, pgs. 1776 and 1777).

20. As per claim 12, Li-Cali-Singh teaches the system further wherein said second random backoff period assumes a nonzero value only after an unsuccessful attempt to transmit occurs (Li, see overview of paragraphs 0014-0016, fig. 5, and paragraphs 0059-0064; see also Cali, pgs. 1776 and 1777).

Art Unit: 2441

21. As per claims 26 and 27, Li-Cali-Singh teaches the system further comprising the first station powering down a transmitter circuit for at least the same portion of the first backoff interval (Singh, see section 2.1 describing IEEE 802.11 nodes that power down when refraining from accessing the resource).

22. As per claims 29 and 31, Li-Cali-Singh teaches the system further wherein measuring an average wait time comprises: the access point being configured to measure a plurality of wait times, each wait time measured (i) from a time that the access point first determines that the shared resource has become idle (ii) to a time that the access point actually transmits a pending frame on the shared resource; and the access point being configured to calculate an average of the plurality of wait times (Cali, see pg. 1778, calculation of timing, including basing the calculation on a moving average; see also pg. 1776 and 1777).

### ***Conclusion***

23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas Taylor whose telephone number is (571) 272-3889. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing Chan can be reached on (571) 272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 2441

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/NT/  
Nicholas Taylor  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2441

/Larry Donaghue/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2454